PATENT COOPERATION TREATY REC'D 2 4 JUN 2005 From the PCT INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WIPO To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of malling see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 22.01.2004 24.01.2005 PCT/DK2005/000043 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B42F7/02, B42F7/06, B31B41/00, G11B33/04 Applicant **3L-LUDVIGSEN A/S** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. I Box No. 11 **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



3.

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Louvion, B

Telephone No. +49 89-2399-2845



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/DK2005/000043

Poy No. 1 Posic of the out of	
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 	n in
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the folk language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	wing
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	l
a. type of material:	
a sequence listing	
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material:	
☐ in written format	
☐ in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing:	
contained in the international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	•
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating the has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	ereto I
L Additional comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/DK2005/000043

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-36

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-36

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-36

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

I. Ad Section I.:

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: GB 2 324 784 A (CHEN SU HSIANG WU) 4 November 1998 (1998-11-04)

D2: US 6 032 795 A (EHRLUND AAKE ET AL) 7 March 2000 (2000-03-07)

D3: FR 2 418 518 A (THOMSON BRANDT) 21 September 1979 (1979-09-21)

D4: US 5 501 326 A (SHUHSIANG WU C) 26 March 1996 (1996-03-26)

D5: US 5 595 293 A (MILLER ET AL) 21 January 1997 (1997-01-21)

II. Ad Section V.:

- 2 None of the cited documents discloses all the features of claims 1, 17 and 29.
- 2.1 The subject-matter of claims 1, 17 and 29 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).
- Claim 1: Document **D1**, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a device from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that :
- (1) The sleeve comprises at least four layers;
- (2) The sleeve has no second central sheet;
- 3.1 Claim 17: Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a device from which the subject-matter of claim 17 differs in that:
- (3) The sleeve holder has a plurality of parallel ribs each having two rib sides and forming between them ... clearances in the slots (Claim 17, page 28, II.2-6).
- 3.2 Claim 29: Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a device from which the subject-matter of claim 29 differs in that:
- (3) The sleeve holder has a plurality of parallel ribs each having two rib sides and forming between them ... clearances in the slots (Claim 29, page 29, I.32-page 30 l. 4);
- (4) The projections ... in the holder (Claim 29, page 30, Il.5-6).
- 3.3 As none of the cited documents renders obvious the characterising features of the independent claims 1, 17 and 29 in combination with D1, the claims 1, 17 and 29 of the present application are considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 4 Claims 2-16, 18-28 and 30-36 are dependent on claims 1, 17 and 29 respectively

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2005/000043

and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

- The claimed invention for which protection is sought can be made or used (in the technological sense) in any kind of industry and shall therefore be considered industrially applicable according to Article 33(4)PCT.
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document **D1** is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 7 The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT). This applies to both the preamble and characterising portion.